



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|

10/631,972

08/01/2003

Daniel Lee Hamilton

23952-0109

3678

72386

7590

08/20/2008

SUTHERLAND II

SUTHERLAND, ASBILL & BRENNAN, LLC

999 PEACHTREE STREET

ATLANTA, GA 30309

EXAMINER

SHAIKH, MOHAMMAD Z

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3696

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

08/20/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. 10/631,972 | Applicant(s) HAMILTON ET AL. | |
| | Examiner MOHAMMAD Z. SHAIKH | Art Unit 3696 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) MOHAMMAD Z. SHAIKH. (3) WILLIAM COOK.

(2) DANIEL FELTON. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 August 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: US 2005/0010523, US PAT: 6,647,376, US 2004/0230526.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: US 2005/0010523, US PAT: 6,647,376, US 2004/0230526 WERE DISCUSSED. EXAMINER RECOMMENDED SUBMITTING AN AMENDMENT CLARIFYING CLAIM LANGUAGE WITH RESPECT TO THE DEBITING OPTIONS. ATTORNEY AGREED TO SEND A REQUEST FOR CONTINUING EXAMINATION (RCE).

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

| | |
|--|--|
| /Mohammad Z Shaikh/ Examiner, Art Unit 3696 | |
|--|--|